



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
,-,-, / p- p,,,,,,,, -		9		.,	
09/557,149	04/25/00	YOHANAN		<u> </u>	15-4-296.53
-		,	\neg	E	XAMINER
	•	TM02/0817	•		
STERNE KESSI	LER GOLDSTE	IN & FOX PLLC		SAX.S	
SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 NEW YOR	RK AVENUE NI	A			
WASHINGTON I	DC 20005-39:	34		2173	
				DATE MAILED:	
					08/17/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

(0

	09 557149	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		Congression
	Examiner Sa	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	7 -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a I If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaul Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta 	reply within the statutory mini t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on3 •	, Termini	Dis claim un
☐ This action is FINAL .	V	•
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 		
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
#Claim(s) 3 - \$		is/are rejected.
The state of the s		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		•
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers		are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ng Review, PTO-948. is □approved	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ng Review, PTO-948. is □approved	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ng Review, PTO-948. is □approved	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ng Review, PTO-948. is □approved	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ng Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 15 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	ng Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers)	ng Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interior	ng Review, PTO-948 is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents hero	are subject to restriction or election requirement. □ disapproved. -(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on Interposed drawing correction drawing correction drawing correction drawing corr	ng Review, PTO-948 is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents hero	are subject to restriction or election requirement. □ disapproved. -(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on Inhe drawing(s) filed on Inhe specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under all Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. In received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interest Attachment(s)	is □ approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents here.	are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. -(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a claim for forei	ng Review, PTO-948 is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents her)ternational Bureau (PCT	are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. -(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on Inhe drawing(s) filed on Inhe specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a claim	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents for the priority documents for the priority document for th	are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. -(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The terminal disclaimer has been entered and the finality has been removed.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cardinal et al (5799318) in view of Gudmundson et al (5907704).
- 4. Regarding claim 3, see Cardinal et al: the abstract, Figures 4, 5, 6, column 2 lines 37-64, column 3 lines 55-68 (note how an item may be a document or email message), column 5 lines 34-64, column 6 lines 50-68, column 10 lines 34-65 (note especially the launch icon discussions). This describes a graphical interactive system which accesses a desired document or email address. A desktop icon is displayed, and when selected launches a browser and accesses the document from its storage address. Cardinal et al do not go into the details that a web site is accessed (which accordingly means the web address is accessed), but do mention email and also mention linking a user object to a variety of computer resources of information. Furthermore, see

وشية خ Application/Control Number: 09/557149 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

Gudmundson et al: the abstract, Figure 3, 5, 6, 38(a-g), column 3 lines 1-20, column 7 lines 64-68, column 8 lines 20-30 and 39-60 (note the description of 'elements' and their linking function, as well as modifiers), column 10 lines 37-68, column 14 lines 8-30 (note how an element may be a graphic), and column 44 lines 24-55 (note the linking to a website). This shows how a website is accessed via a link to an object. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have a website accessed in Cardinal et al, in view of the linking in Gudmundson et al, because it would provide a convenient computer resource of information to be linked to an object, in a system that links resources of information to objects.

- 4. Regarding claim 4, the browser in Cardinal et al may be a separate application which is then accessed. Note this in Gudmundson et al as well that a separate specific browser is loaded up (column 44 lines 24-30).
- 5. Regarding claim 5, note that Cardinal et al mentions documents being retrieved. The fact that they are retrieved from a network is obvious in view of the Gudmundson et al ability to access a website, as described above.
- 6. Regarding claims 6-8, these show the same features as above. In addition, note that Cardinal et al show the email feature as discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 09/557149

Art Unit: 2173

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Steve Sax, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on M F from 9:30 6:00 ET. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached at (703) 308-3116.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

STEVEN SAX PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4